

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/615,767	07/10/2003	Makoto Shirai	Q76106	9463	
23373	7590 06/24/2004		EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			SHEEHAN, JOHN P		
SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20037		1742		
			DATE MAILED: 06/24/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/615,76	7	SHIRAI ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
-		John P. St		1742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nations of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) do period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve cation. ays, a reply within the statu nry period will apply and wi by statute, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply atory minimum of thirty (3 Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS ication to become ABANI	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely 5 from the mailing date of this co	/. mmunication.			
Status				•				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on .						
2a)□								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on 10 July 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objectio Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	are: a)⊠ accepted in to the drawing(s) be e correction is require	e held in abeyance ed if the drawing(s)	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CF	• •			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice (3) Information	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO- ser No(s)/Mail Date <u>December 17, 2003</u> .		Paper No(s)/W	mary (PTO-413) lail Date mal Patent Application (PTC)-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/615,767

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoppin (US Patent No. 2,923,621, cited in the IDS submitted December 17,2003).

Hoppin teaches a nickel brazing alloy comprising:

chromium

10 to 30 wt%

manganese

7 to 15 wt%

silicon

8 to 12 wt% and

nickel

the balance (column 2, lines 49 and 50)

The chromium and manganese contents taught by Hoppin overlap the chromium and manganese content recited in applicants' claim.

The claim and Hoppin differ in that Hoppin teaches a silicon content of 8 to 12 wt % while applicants' claim recites a silicon content of 5 to 7 wt %.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,767

Art Unit: 1742

However, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have considered the invention to have been obvious because the claimed silicon content (5 to 7 wt %) and the prior art silicon content (8 to 12 wt %) closely approximate each other, that is the claimed upper limit of 7 % and Hoppin's lower limit of 8 wt % closely approximate each other and therefore the range of the prior art establishes *prima facie* obviousness because one of ordinary skill in the art would have expected the similar ranges to have the same properties. *See in re Peterson*, 65 USPQ2d 1379, 1382, citing *Titanium Metals Corp. v. Banner*, 227 USPQ 773, 779 and MPEP 2144.05.

"a prima facie case of obviousness exists where the claimed ranges and prior art ranges do not overlap but are close enough that one skilled in the art would have expected them to have the same properties. *Titanium Metals Corp. of America v. Banner*, 778 F.2d 775, 227 USPQ 773 (Fed.Cir.1985)(Court held as proper a rejection of a claim directed to an alloy of "having 0.8%nickel,0.3%molybdenum,up to 0.1%iron,bal - ance titanium" as obvious over a reference disclosing alloys of 0.75%nickel,0.25%molybdenum,balance titanium and 0.94%nickel,0.31%molybdenum,bal - ance titanium.).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John P. Sheehan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1249. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F (6:45-4:30) Second Monday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/John P. Sheehan Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742

jps